Filed 04/10/2007

Page 1 of 9

Recei<u>pt</u> Nymber

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IDFA, L.L.C. f/k/a ICDP, LLC, a Michigan Limited Liability Company, and FADI K. BARADIHI, a Michigan Resident Plaintiff,

VS.

Case: 2:07-cv-11622

Assigned To: Taylor, Anna Diggs Referral Judge: Morgan, Virginia M Filed: 04-10-2007 At 02:34 PM CMP IDFA VS WILSON (LE)

CAROL ANN WILSON, a Colorado Resident.

Defendant,

DAVID J. SHEA (P41399) ANDREW J. THOMAS (P67948) Shea Law Firm, PLLC Attorney for Plaintiff 24901 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 715 Southfield, MI 48075 (248) 354-0224

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NOW COME Plaintiffs, IDFA, L.L.C. and FADI K. BARADIHI, by and through their attorneys, SHEA LAW FIRM, PLLC, and for their Complaint state as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has jurisdiction of the claims herein and the amount in controversy 1. exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.
- Plaintiff, IDFA, LLC, formerly known as ICDP, LLC (hereinafter "IDFA"), is a 2. Michigan Limited Liability Company in good standing with its principal place of business located in the City of Southfield, County of Oakland, State of Michigan.

- 3. Plaintiff, FADI K. BARADIHI ("Baradihi"), is a resident of the City of Midland, State of Michigan, and, at all pertinent times hereto, did business in the City of Southfield, County of Oakland, State of Michigan as President of IDFA.
- Defendant, CAROL ANN WILSON ("Wilson"), is a resident of the City of 4. Boulder, State of Colorado, and, at all pertinent times hereto, did business in the City of Southfield, County of Oakland, State of Michigan as an employee of IDFA f/k/a ICDP.
- All or part of this cause of action accrued in the City of Southfield, County of 5. Oakland, State of Michigan, and Defendant has previously consented to the venue and jurisdiction of this Court by filing and litigating the matter Carol Ann Wilson, et. al. vs. ICDP, LLC, et. al., Case #02-72274, before the Honorable Gerald E. Rosen.

COUNT I - REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

- Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the foregoing allegations with the same force 6. and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- Divorce Planning is a booming field of specialty in North America. A divorce 7. planner is customarily an individual with a financial background (such as a financial planner or CPA) who can provide clients undergoing a divorce with advice on the value of the marital estate and proposed asset splits by the parties.
- In approximately 1993, Carol Ann Wilson ("Wilson") began the Institute for 8. Certified Divorce Planners, Inc. ("The Institute") as the company's sole shareholder.
- The Institute designed, developed, marketed, and provided educational services to 9. professionals in the areas of divorce, divorce settlements, and divorce planning. Upon successful completion of an intensive training course, enrollees carned the designation of "CDP".

- 10. In October of 1998, the assets of the Institute for Divorce Planners, Inc. were purchased by IDFA, which, at that time, operated as ICDP, LLC.
- 11. At the time of the Asset Purchase, Wilson had authored a book published by "ICDP Publishing" entitled Survival Manual for Women in Divorce.
- 12. The parties to the Asset Purchase Agreement presumed, contemplated and expressed the rights to the book were included among the assets purchased by ICDP.
- 13. More importantly, as a condition of the purchase, Wilson agreed to enter into an employment agreement with ICDP. The relevant terms of the agreement were, in part, the following:
 - a. Paragraph 8: <u>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY</u>. ... Employee shall forthwith disclose in writing such discoveries or inventions to the Company but to no other person and shall forthwith assign to the Company full and exclusive rights to any such discovery or invention and to any trademark, copyright or patent. Employee, upon request of the Company, shall forthwith execute all documents necessary or advisable in the opinion of the Company to direct the issuance of trademarks, copyrights or patents to the Company or to vest title in the Company to such inventions or discoveries...
 - b. Paragraph 10(c): BOOK AND PRODUCT SALES. Subject to the provisions of Paragraph 10(d) below, Employee may continue sales of books, videos, and audio tapes that are in existence as of the date this Agreement is executed, and the Company will not seek payment of amounts generated from such sales. Should Employee desire to write, copyright and publish new books during the term of this agreement, Employee must obtain the Company's consent, and if consent is given by the Company, the parties to this Agreement will confer and agree to a mutually acceptable split of royalties or commissions. The Company will be given a right of first refusal, and if the Company does not exercise that right, Employee will be entitled to full benefit of any royalties earned.
 - 14. Given the above, Plaintiff purchased the rights to the book at issue.
- 15. ICDP paid considerable consideration for the book rights. The Asset Purchase Agreement dictated that ICDP pay \$15,440.92 for the assets and assume all liabilities of the

Institute. Wilson's employment agreement with ICDP provided her with a base salary of \$100,000 plus incentive bonuses. In addition, ICDP loaned Wilson \$180,000 as part of the transaction.

- 16. In 2006, Baradihi, in his capacity as President of IDFA, authored a book entitled "The IDFA Divorce Survival Guide".
- 17. On December 15, 2006, Wilson filed a grievance with the Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards, Inc. against Baradihi alleging his book infringed on the copyright of Wilson's alleged book, "Survival Manual for Women in Divorce".
- 18. The Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards, Inc. ("CFP Board") is a nonprofit professional regulatory organization formed in 1985. CFP Board administers a certification program and awards a designation entitled "Certified Financial Planner" or "CFP" to financial planners who meet their requirements.
- 19. Both Baradihi and Wilson are members of the CFP Board and hold the "CFP" designation.
- 20. The CFP Board has not taken action on Wilson's grievance given the pendency of this matter.
- 21. Defendant has charged Plaintiffs with infringement of her alleged copyright, and plaintiffs deny any infringement of said copyright. Therefore, there exists an actual and justiciable controversy between plaintiffs and defendant with respect to plaintiffs' purported infringement of the alleged copyright.
- 22. Plaintiffs have not infringed, and is not now infringing, either directly or in a contributory fashion, and has not induced others to infringe upon any intellectual property rights which may be held by defendant.

23. Unless defendant is permanently enjoined from doing so, she will continue to bring charges of infringement and acts of enforcement or suit based upon alleged copyright infringement against plaintiffs and those in privity with plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, IDFA and Baradihi, respectfully request this Honorable Court issue a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- i. Plaintiffs have not infringed upon any of Defendant's alleged intellectual property rights;
- Defendant be enjoined and restrained from all further charges of ii. infringement and acts of enforcement or suit based on copyrights, or any other intellectual property rights, against plaintiffs, or anyone in privity with them;
- iii. For costs of suit;
- iv. For such further relief as the court may deem just and equitable.

<u>COUNT II – DEFAMATION</u>

- 24. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the foregoing allegations with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
 - 25. The accusation that Plaintiffs infringed on Defendant's alleged copyright is false.
- 26. Defendant published said false allegation to third parties with knowledge of the falsity of the statement or in negligent and/or reckless disregard of the truth or falsity.
 - 27. The publication was not privileged.
- 28. The publication of Defendant's false allegation has resulted in damage to Plaintiffs' reputation in the community and economic loss, including but not limited to, the following:

- lost sales and a general decline in Plaintiffs' business;
- b. reduction of marketability of Plaintiffs' book;
- c. loss of character and reputation;
- d. emotional distress;
- humiliation, mortification, and embarrassment;
- attorney fees; and
- g. other damages that may arise during the course of discovery and the course of this trial.
- 29. Defendant's false allegation is defamation per se as it has held plaintiffs up to hatred, scorn, contempt and/or ridicule; was made with malicious intent by Defendant; and/or is damaging, false and libelous to plaintiffs' business.
- 30. Plaintiffs are further entitled to exemplary damages because Defendant has been notified of the falsity of her statement, has had ample time to retract the statement before plaintiffs filed suit, and/or has maliciously amplified the injury to plaintiffs' feelings.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, IDFA and Baradihi, respectfully request this Honorable Court issue a judgment against Defendant in whatever amount they are entitled to, plus interest, costs, attorneys' fees, and exemplary damages, and all other equitable remedies as the Court deems just.

PLAINTIFFS HEREBY REQUEST TRIAL BY JURY IN THIS MATTER.

Respectfully Submitted,

SHEA LAW FIRM, PLI

By:

DAVID J. SHEA (P41399)

ANDREW J. THOMAS (P67948)

Attorney for Plaintiff 24901 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 715

Southfield, MI 48075

(248) 354-0224

Case 2:07-cv-11622-GER-VMM Document 1 Filed 04/10/2007 Page 8 of 9

14 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose ____

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace for supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEB INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFES			INTERESTAL A PER		, · .
IDFA, LLC f/k/a	ICDP, LLC, a Michigan Limi	H	DEFENDANT	rs	· -
Liability Compan	y and Fadi K. Baradihi	Lea	İ		
(D) County of Residen	ce of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Pacidon	= f Time I in a let en e	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
0-1-1 1 0 .			(IN U.S. PLAINTUF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
Oakland County, Michigan			NOTE: INL	AND CONDEMNATION CASE	S, USE THE LOCATION OF THE
(a) Au				NO INVOLVED.	
	me, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Know	m)	
David J. Shea (P	. ,				
24901 Northweste	41399) (248) 354-0224 rn Hwy., Ste. 715 Southfie	14 мт			
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Select One Biox Only) 48075				
	(Selections (May) 4007)	lm ci	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIE	LS (Select One Box for Plaintiff
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question	1 '	(For Diversity Cases Onl	y,	and One Box for Defendant)
1 manili	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizz	n of This State		PTF DEF Principal Place XD 4 D 4
				of Business In	Principal Place XD 4 □ 4 This State
Deferming	⊈x4 Diversity	Citize	n of Another State	_	
Testeranii	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Rem III)			-X - 111000 horsered (10)	ind Principal Place 🗇 5 🗇 5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				an Another State
			л or Subject of a eign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	п б 🗇 б
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Solect One Box Only)		oigh Codina y		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORE	EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	Y 10 61	0 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHERSTATUTES
D 130 Miller Act	O 362 Personal Injury	- (7) 62	O Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Limiting	' I <i>U</i> ' 62	5 Drug Related Scizure	28 USC 157	 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws		□ 450 Commerce
AEnforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act	Slander D 368 Ascentos Persona	u 137 640	OR.R. & Truck	PROPERTY AIGHTS 9 820 Copyrights	O 460 Deportation
 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	CJ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability J islability	□ 650	D Airline Rogs.	1 □7 830 Patent	 470 Racketcer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	∫□ 660	Occupational	☼ 840 Tradetmurk	I □ 480 Consumer C'eadit
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 370 Other Fraud		-		400 Cable/Sat TV
of Veteran's Benefits	C MONAGE TELEVISION CONTROL TO STATE OF THE	(Case: 2:07-cv	-11622	aê Parina
□ 160 Stockholders' Snits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ Property Damage		vasionen Ta	T	modities/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Lightlate Car age n	F	Referral Judge	raylor, Anna Diggs ⊵: Morgan, Virginia 207 At op o	lenge
196 Franchise	Injury Personal Product Liability	F	iled 04 to 54	007 At 02:34 PM	R.A
REAL PROPERTY		- 6	MB 10EV 7:-	JU/ At 02:34 PM	'V' Actions
			IPIA VS	WILSON (LEV	ilization
□ 220 Foreglosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate ☐ 442 Employment Sentence		Transport Labour Linguistration	Jr. 2002-1 (Maiters
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/ Hubeas Corpus:		Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defending)	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations D 530 General		occurry rect	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	7 895 Freedom of Information
	- 145 .			20 OBC 7609	Act D 900 Appeal of Fee
j	Employment 550 Civil Pials.	if			Determination Under
	J 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - [G 555 Prison Condition]	1			Access to Justice
i,	Other U 440 Other Civit Rights				Ef 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
				<u>l</u>	State triut([gs
V. ORIGIN (Select C	One Box Only)		-		
- X ¹ Original □ 2 Rec	moved from D 3 Remanded from D	4.	led or D 5 Tours	ferred from	Appeal to District
Proceeding Sta		Remstar	led or Landh	P	riet 17 7 Judge from Magistrate
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	filine (Do	not cita inniciliata a	I Litigation	n <u>Judgment</u>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO)N		not cite jurisaicigon:	ai statutes unless diversity);	28USC 1332
	woodspiton of cause,				
VIL REQUESTED IN	Copyright	<u>Infri</u>	ngment		
COMPLAINT:	O CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEM	AND S	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
		_		JURY DEMAND:	
VIII. RELATED CASE	((S) (Sandania) / \				Yes JNo
IF ANY	(See instructions):			CACACITETTIC	
DATE				DOCKET NUMBER	
4-10-07	SCAPULE DE ATTO	RNEY OF R	ECORD		
	1 run				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # AMC					
AMC	OUNT APPLYING HP		JUDGR	МАС. ЛД	r'ao
	-			1927AC7. JUD	UL.

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, gi	ive the following information:	X No
Court: _		
Case No.	.:	
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	X Yes No
If yes, gi	ve the following information:	
Court: <u>τ</u>	United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan,	. Southern Division
Case No.	: 02-72274	
	Gerald E. Rosen	
Notes :	Carol Ann Wilson, et. al vs. ICDP, LLC et. al	